Interview Summary	10/537,252	KIMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JULIE HA	1654	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>JULIE HA</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>SEAN MYERS-PAYNE</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 April 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>25</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Mr. Myers-Payne called to discuss the language of the amendment to the claim 25.</u> He faxed in a copy of proposal to claim 25, and after discussing this with SPE Tsang, it was agreed that the proposed <u>amendment was acceptable. Applicant will file amendment to the claims. Applicant does not need to respond to this interview summary</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Julie Ha/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654			

Application No.

Applicant(s)